

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

JENNINGS BRADLEY,

Plaintiff,

v.

JO ANNE B. BARNHART,  
Commissioner of Social  
Security,

Defendant.

No. CV-05-3031-MWL

ORDER FOR STIPULATED REMAND

The parties have filed a stipulation for remand of the above captioned case to the Commissioner for further administrative proceedings. (Ct. Rec. 27). The parties have consented to proceed before a magistrate judge. (Ct. Rec. 7).

Based on the stipulation of the parties, it is **hereby ORDERED** that the above-captioned case be reversed and remanded for further administrative proceedings pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). On Remand, the administrative law judge ("ALJ") will consider the lay evidence in accordance with 20 C.F.R. § 404.1513(d)(4), including the information from Tamara Jaeger (Plaintiff's Mother), Toby Bradley (Plaintiff's brother), Carol Grass (Plaintiff's sister-in-

1 law), James Grass (relation unknown), Jackie Arbuthnot  
2 (Plaintiff's neighbor), and James First, Holly Weaver, Jessica  
3 Noyes, Buck Bailey, and Dennis McKalhear (Plaintiff's friends);  
4 give further consideration to Plaintiff's maximum residual  
5 functional capacity during the entire period at issue and provide  
6 appropriate rationale with specific references to evidence in  
7 support of assessed limitations; consider the subjective  
8 information provided by Plaintiff regarding his limitations due to  
9 the side effects of his prescribed medication, and explain the  
10 weight given to such information; obtain evidence from a  
11 vocational expert to clarify the effect of the assessed  
12 limitations on Plaintiff's occupational base consistent with  
13 Social Security Ruling ("SSR") 83-15, with hypothetical questions  
14 which reflect the specific capacity/limitations established by the  
15 record as a whole; ask the vocational expert to identify examples  
16 of appropriate jobs and to state the incidence of such jobs in the  
17 national economy; and, before relying on the vocational expert  
18 evidence, identify and resolve any conflicts between the  
19 occupational evidence provided by the vocational expert and  
20 information in the Dictionary of Occupational Titles and  
21 accompanying Selected Characteristics of Occupations pursuant to  
22 SSR 00-4p.  
23  
24  
25

26 ///

27 ///

